SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

NELSON BARBADOS GROUP LTD.

Plaintiff

- and -

RICHARD COX et al

Defendants

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE SHAUGHNESSY,

AT THE COURTHOUSE IN OSHAWA, ONTARIO

ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 9, 2012.

MOTION WITHOUT NOTICE

APPEARANCES:

B. GREENSPAN

Counsel for Donald Best

THURSDAY, AUGUST 9, 2012

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Greenspan.

MR. GREENSPAN: Good morning, Your Honour. Your Honour, first of all, I'd like to thank you and your office for arranging for what I hope will be just a brief moment of your time and I apologize for interrupting the flow of what's happening.

THE COURT: Oh, you don't have to apologize. I'm always happy to accommodate you. By the way, just while I'm thinking of it, congratulations on the honourary doctorate that you just received.

MR. GREENSPAN: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: I just read about it in the Law Society Gazette yesterday.

MR. GREENSPAN: Thank you, Your Honour.

THE COURT: So heartiest congratulations. We're in very esteemed company.

MR. GREENSPAN: Thank you. It's interesting. Unfortunately, I am going to be attending a funeral today later on in the day. Justice Trotter's mother passed away but Justice Trotter sent me a website when I got the doctorate and it was "Now that you're a doctor you can perform surgeries," and there is a website of self-surgeries that is really quite spectacular. I haven't done that yet but thank you very much, sir. I appreciate it.

Anyway, it's interesting and I wasn't going to mention it but because we're starting informally, you know, it's quite unusual for me to be on a where Her Majesty the Queen doesn't come

first and there have been times throughout my career where I have appeared on civil contempt matters.

The very first civil matter I ever did was, quite nostalgically, a case called City of Sault Ste. Marie versus Ann Young and Mr. Kurisko, later Mr. Justice Kurisko, from Sault Ste Marie was on the other side on behalf of the City of Sault Ste. Marie and I was in the Civil Court of Appeal in Osgoode and Justice Jessop was in the middle, so it's the early eighties at the time, and he looked at me and said, "Is this your baptism in the Civil Court of Appeal?" And I said, "It is, sir," and he said, "Picked the wrong vehicle." So there is a history of perhaps civil contempt issues.

THE COURT: Well, it would be interesting to be on a case with Stan Kurisko as well.

MR. GREENSPAN: It was. It was very. It was very, no question about it.

Sir, what brings me here today is to seek your directions because the civil case that led to the civil contempt...

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GREENSPAN: The matter of Nelson Barbados Group Ltd. and 63 defendants were named.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GREENSPAN: ...in that action. That case has now been resolved and settled and it's over. And now, in an attempt to seek - and you will see we not only have the application before you today

but we have a draft application of the release that we will seek in the main motion that we want to bring before you that we are at a bit of a loss as to who we ought to serve.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

To just remind you, at the time of what was occurring, at the relevant time, Your Honour held Mr. Best in contempt on January the 15th of 2010. You sentenced him at that time to three months' incarceration and a fine of \$7500. At that time, counsel - four sets of counsel appeared on behalf of the defendants during that timeframe.

13

THE COURT: Yes.

14 15

16

18 19

17

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29

31

30

32

MR. GREENSPAN: But they only represented 11 of the defendants or at least the record reflects that they represented 11 of the 63 defendants. rest of the defendants were apparently unrepresented or didn't actually participate in response to the action by Nelson Barbados. As a result - and quite frankly, now that it is settled, we are not confident, although we are happy to serve them, that Mr. Silver or Mr. Roman, Mr. Ranking or Mr. Clarke have much interest in returning to court to respond or to make representations with respect to the application which we seek. But at the same time, we wanted to do it right. We wanted to make sure that we served any interested party who might want to attend for whatever reason they want to attend. Our inclination was to serve only the defendants who were appearing at the time as the transcript reveals.

1 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GREENSPAN: That was our first inclination but we wanted to make sure that we weren't remiss in our obligation to serve other parties if Your Honour thought, as a matter of practice, we ought to. So that's the first and most important part of the reason for my attendance this morning, just who ought we to serve.

The other one is perhaps a little bit more controversial and there is this very lengthy affidavit that we have served that will be the primary affidavit in the main motion once we schedule that and we hope to schedule it certainly no later than the end of October. We would like to schedule it early in the fall, subject to Your Honour's availability and your schedule.

In that lengthy affidavit, there are positions that are taken that may impact — and I must say in terms of his recollection and what occurred, it may impact upon some of the counsel who were active at the time in this matter in terms of their recollection, his recollection and again, we thought, as a matter of fairness, all of those counsel ought to be aware of it and ought to be served with this affidavit in order that they might, if they choose, respond to the position that Mr. Best takes in his affidavit. So that was that aspect of it.

1 The other aspect is, as his affidavit reveals, he 2 primarily, with his family, lived 3 New Zealand. THE COURT: I found out for the first time after I 4 5 read the material. GREENSPAN: Well, quite frankly, we didn't 6 7 know until this affidavit and I must say I am

unaware of where he currently resides.

THE COURT: I am not asking you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

MR. GREENSPAN: He contacts us either by email and we arrange for telephone calls and I must say, and I don't think we've been remiss, but it's been a very very difficult process since we were first approached about getting involved in this matter. It's been a difficult process to come to the level of knowledge that we now have to be able to produce this affidavit, which was only sworn in April of this year, and we were retained in May of last year. So it's taken us all...

THE COURT: May of last year?

MR. GREENSPAN: May of 2011 is when we were - the end of May, 2011 is when we were first retained but it took us about 10 months really to get our hands around this and to understand it and be able to produce the affidavit as we primarily because there was - the telephone nature of the relationship and the desire to get materials together so that we could understand it and present it properly to you. But in the interim, what we now see is this.

has got to return to Canada. There is a He

warrant that Your Honour issued, subject to your order of January 15, 2010, for his arrest. What we respectfully would suggest or request from Your Honour is that the execution of that order be lifted to October 31st, 2012 or the date of the application, whichever is the earlier, so that we might be permitted the opportunity for Mr. Best to return to Canada, come before the court out of custody and be able to make the representations and be subject to potential cross-examination on his affidavit out of custody and therefore, be in a position that when we come before you - if Your Honour, at the end of the day, chooses to re-incarcerate, so be it but that at least the preparations, the cross-examinations, potentially, and the appearance in court and his movement through Immigration, that that can be made out of custody.

We don't anticipate that he would come to Canada prior to early September, so we're just talking about a period of perhaps four to six weeks at the maximum where he would be at large in Canada and not subject to the execution of the arrest warrant. That is really the only secondary issue and I leave that with Your Honour and at your discretion, sir, and those are the two issues that I wanted to raise before you on this matter.

THE COURT: Well, my first comment, Mr. Greenspan, is that this contempt hearing was not on my initiative. So it was not one made at the instance of the court. It was brought primarily,

30

3132

I'm going to say, by Mr. Silver and Mr. Ranking and if anything, the way the case was conducted and frankly, there was - I mean I have got three transcripts here. I'm telling you there must have been eight, maybe eight transcripts or more of various attendances, not always directly. Well, Mr. Best was the principal of Nelson Barbados. Mr. McKenzie was the lawyer representing corporation up to a point. So I can't remember at this point in time who actually put it before me or whether it was joint or how many persons were on it. I would think, though, as a starting point, that there should be notice. Are they no longer interested? You know the parties, who thev represented in these proceedings, presumably, and do they have any appetite? I really don't know.

The second part is do they have standing, in any event? My sense - I am not making a ruling on it. I am going to let them come. I am going to ask you to serve at least the individuals who appeared on the contempt hearing.

MR. GREENSPAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And I can't tell you which law firms those are from looking at it.

MR. GREENSPAN: It would appear...

THE COURT: There is another - sorry.

MR. GREENSPAN: I'm sorry, sir. It would appear - well, in the three transcripts that we do have, on all of them it appears to be the same. On January the 15th, which is when the contempt citation took place, at that time, if you can

1 look to page three of the transcript, you will see that the usual suspects were all there, and 2 3 that is at Schedule A. looked COURT: Yes. As Ι at the other 4 THE transcripts, Motion, December 2nd, they seem to be 5 the same but when I went over... 6 7 MR. GREENSPAN: Yes, sir. **THE COURT:** ...to November 2nd, there is somebody 8 called Butler, Conklin and Kewan and they may 9 10 have been alternative representatives to the 11 others. There is many more counsel who 12 participated in the proceedings but not in that contempt proceeding. So I think we would be safe 13 14 at least on the contempt motion is what I am 15 looking at, the contempt hearing, at least Silver, 16 Roman and Ranking. Those three I distinctly 17 remember. Morse, Clarke I think were - riding the rails would be my term. 18 19 MR. GREENSPAN: Morse was with Ranking. 20 THE COURT: Maybe that's the case. 21 MR. GREENSPAN: Okay, so it's Mr. Ranking and 22 Ms. Morse for the defendant, Price Waterhouse, 23 who we believe actually initiated the contempt. 24 THE COURT: That may very well be. My memory is 25 just light on this and I must tell you I don't 26 have the file or the transcript. I didn't order 27 it up. But if you have any difficulty, I will get 28 the court file for you. I'm sure it's gone to 29 storage somewhere. MR. GREENSPAN: If you might, sir, if you look at 30 January 15th for a moment. 31 32 THE COURT: January 15th, yes.

MR. GREENSPAN: Yes, if you look at January 15th... 1 THE COURT: Got it. 2 MR. GREENSPAN: Go to the second page, Schedule A, 3 vou will see that for the defendants... 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MR. GREENSPAN: ...were Lorne Silver, Mr. Ranking 6 7 and Ms. Morse for Price Waterhouse, Mr. Roman and then Ms. Clarke. 8 THE COURT: Now what are we going to do about 9 Nelson Barbados because Mr. McKenzie and his law 10 11 firm, well, they have parted ways is what I'm told but something erupted, as you know, after 12 13 this. MR. GREENSPAN: Yes. 14 THE COURT: ...where Mr. McKenzie had to leave and 15 16 not only that but counsel, a very leading counsel 17 and I forgot his name, had to get off the record. So I don't now who represents Nelson Barbados at 18 19 this point in time. MR. GREENSPAN: Well, I think no one does at this 20 21 point but I am more than happy - I will tell you, 22 sir, that I know Mr. McKenzie personally. I think 23 he's now retired from practice but there is no 24 problem in ensuring that Mr. McKenzie is aware of 25 the proceedings and is given notice of the 26 proceedings as well. 27 THE COURT: I think it would helpful for other 28 reasons and I suppose if Mr. Best is still a 29 Director of Nelson Barbados, which the 30 representation to the court, and that status 31 hasn't changed, then Nelson Barbados Group Ltd. 32 is on notice by Mr. Best being on notice.

ą		
	1	MR. GREENSPAN: Yes, sir.
(2	THE COURT: So I think that would probably do it.
	3	MR. GREENSPAN: Thank you.
N	4	THE COURT: I think you are quite right that
	5	Mr. Ranking and certainly Mr. Silver and Mr.
9	6	Roman - but those three were the three lead
	7	counsel and as the many applications proceeded,
*	8	other counsel just dropped off or they didn't
	9	even gown. They just watched what was going on in
*	10	the courtroom. So I think if you've got that
	11	group, I think we've got service out of the way.
(M)	12	MR. GREENSPAN: So the last one, just for
-	13	certainty
(re-	14	THE COURT: Ms. Clarke?
	15	MR. GREENSPAN: Ms. Clarke. Should I, just as a
Und	16	matter of caution, add her?
	17	THE COURT: I think so. We might as well.
F	18	MR. GREENSPAN:because she did appear at the
	19	time.
M	20	THE COURT: Yes, and that is the First Caribbean
	21	International Bank.
ine)	22	MR. GREENSPAN: Yes.
-	23	THE COURT: But boy, they had
	24	MR. GREENSPAN: I'm sure they will have no
•	25	interest, sir.
	26	THE COURT:little or no interest whatsoever
-	27	here.
	28	MR. GREENSPAN: No, no. I'm sure their first
-	29	question will be, "Who is paying the bill?"
100	30	THE COURT: And I am always cognizant of that but
	31	in any event, it may be we will see who, if any,
	32	shows. So that would be the first step.

The second is a date. We may have to get the trial co-ordinator on the phone here because she is the real boss. Would you mind getting Jackie on the phone just for a moment? I am just going to put out some dates and Mr. Greenspan can tell me how it works. It looks to me the week of October 1st could be available because I am duty judge that week but then I start criminal the weeks of October 8th, 15th. There is the week of October 22nd. I am doing civil pre-trials but they can rearrange or squeeze cases around that week. So maybe we could get her on the phone and find out which...

MR. GREENSPAN: So that was October 22nd?

THE COURT: The week of. The only day I would not want it is the 25th. I am doing a very lengthy sentencing that day or I'm having a sentence hearing. I don't know if I will be doing the sentencing that day.

COURT REGISTRAR: How long?

THE COURT: I am going to say half a day. I think the 22nd might be better if we could go into that week but I don't know how that works for Mr. Greenspan. Of course, we don't know what works for the other counsel either. That is the problem.

COURT REGISTRAR: October 23rd in the a.m. She also has October 12th, either a.m. or p.m.

MR. GREENSPAN: That may be better. October...

COURT REGISTRAR: 12th.

MR. GREENSPAN: That's a Friday.

THE COURT: I think she is thinking at the end of

28 29

30

31

32

a criminal sitting. I have a reputation for finishing early so I think they are thinking they will squeeze a day in.

MR. GREENSPAN: Sir, I'll tell you, I'm scheduled that day to be the final day of a motion in Newmarket and I think that is a safe - like there was a couple of days that were added on as safety days and I have co-counsel on that one, so I'm really comfortable with Friday, October 12th.

THE COURT: So am I.

MR. GREENSPAN: All right, that's good.

THE COURT: So would you tell her the 12th and are we going in the morning then, Mr. Greenspan?

MR. GREENSPAN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you. I will mark Nelson Barbados at 9:30 a.m. re: Best. Now, I come to the third item. It gives me some concern in terms of the history of Mr. Best but I suppose what I'd like to hear is that Mr. Best would surrender himself into custody when I go to hear this but that may But it is the history that be too extreme. bothers me, which is still alive in my mind. This not a case I could possibly ever forget is because I think I began it in 2008 and it ended in 2010. But on the other hand, counsel may very well want to cross-examine him and so, with your advice that he will be in attendance, I don't see where I have any difficulty. So I am prepared to make an interim order. I will make it on the motion or the application record here, I guess. We will get you a copy of this, Mr. Greenspan, but so far I have ordered:

1	1. I hereby order that the counsel listed on
2	the contempt hearing transcript of
3	January 15, 2010 shall be served with the
4	application and supporting materials.
5	2. The application is adjourned to Friday,
6	October 12, 2012, 9:30 a.m. before me.
7	3. It is further ordered that the execution of
8	the arrest warrant shall be temporarily
9	stayed until October 12, 2012 to permit
10	Mr. Donald Best to return to Canada,
11	instruct counsel and, if required, to be
12	available for cross-examination on his
13	affidavit.
14	MR. GREENSPAN: Thank you, sir.
15	THE COURT: That's it on that?
16	MR. GREENSPAN: Thank you, I appreciate it. I
17	take it, unlike my usual practice, I have to
18	prepare a formal order, I suspect, for the court
19	to execute it.
20	THE COURT: I'm afraid that's how it happens in
21	this arena. I want to raise an issue with you
22	right now.
23	MR. GREENSPAN: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT:which, frankly, is of no
25	consequence or importance to me but I suspect you
26	would know because you have a client who you have
27	been dealing with for some time. Mr. Best and
28	Mr. McKenzie filed a complaint with the Canadian
29	Judicial Council.
30	MR. GREENSPAN: I'm aware, sir.
31	THE COURT: You know from representing judges and
32	other people throughout the time, I don't know
	1

that they file a complaint. I am never told. It was reviewed by the Chief Justice of Manitoba and I received notification May, June of this year that - my Chief Justice, Heather Smith, sent me a copy advising that the Judicial Council did not wish any comments from me and that they had closed their file and I was given the decision - I will call it that - of the Chief Justice of Manitoba.

I just want to point that out. I'm sure you knew and frankly, it is of no importance or consequence because every person that comes before this court has the absolute right to register a complaint before the Judicial Council. So I, frankly, want to just tell you that at the outset but I think you know and further, as I say, it is of no consequence. It doesn't influence me whatsoever.

MR. GREENSPAN: Sir, I want to make it clear for the record that I was fully aware of the complaint having been made. I in fact received a copy through Mr. Best of the decision of the Judicial Council. I have also reviewed that. I am fully aware of it. Had I had any concerns, you would have heard the concerns expressed and I, from the outset of this application, sir, made it clear that our view was that this matter should come back before you and we are content that this matter come before you and that you make the determination on the motion.

THE COURT: Great. I must tell you I don't think

there is any judge upstairs who is exactly hankering to try to go in and understand this case and its history.

MR. GREENSPAN: No.

THE COURT: So they are relieved, I can tell you. I had a discussions with my colleagues about that know what "I don't said, event and Ι Mr. Greenspan is going to say and if he does say it," and then all I saw was this aghast look from all of them saying, "Come on, don't push this on to us. It's going to take us days to understand the history." I mean the history, what happened, is very much alive in my head because of the very unusual circumstances of the case.

MR. GREENSPAN: I appreciate that and we're fully aware of it and we're, as I say, totally content that the matter proceed before you.

THE COURT: And Ι also want to tell Mr. Greenspan, in terms of any procedural matters because you serve these counsel and you know, several of them are very very busy, if they are going to run into a problem about it, we can simply convene a conference call if you call Jackie Traviss, the Trial Co-ordinator. I would convene a conference call to try to work out dates. I found, in that particular case, it was extraordinary the number of leaps and bounds we had to go to get everybody together in the courthouse at the same time. But if it will be of any assistance - but I will not discuss anything else other than scheduling.

MR. GREENSPAN: Yes, sir.

15 16

12

13

14

17 18

20 21

19

2223

24

2526

27

28

2930

31

32

1	THE COURT: And with everyone on the phone and
2	rather than have you come out here, just arrange
3	it and I'll be happy to accommodate you.
4	MR. GREENSPAN: Thank you, sir. We would
5	appreciate it. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: We will get Mr. Mills to photocopy for
7	Mr. Greenspan a copy of my endorsement.
8	ADJOURNMENT (9:39 AM)
9	
10	
11	FORM 2
12	Certificate of Transcript
13	Evidence Act, subsection 5(2)
14	I, Maxine Newell, certify that this document is a true and
15	accurate transcript of the recordings of Nelson Barbados &
16	Cox et al in the Superior Court of Justice held at 150 Bond
17	St. E., Oshawa, Ontario, taken from Recording number 2812-
18	206-20120809-082800, which has been certified in Form 1.
19	
20	August 16, 2012
21	Maxine Newell, C.C.R.
22	